

REMARKS

Claims 1-3 and 6-11 are pending in the application. Claims 4-5 have been previously cancelled. Claim 1 has been amended. Claim 1 is in independent form.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-3 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent Application Publication 2003/0209655 to Wang (“the ’655 reference”). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection.

The ’655 reference discloses a single core sensor 401 having an elongated optical fiber 410. The sensor 401 includes a pair of plates 471, 472 with one of the plates 471 having ridges 471a that are offset with respect to a plurality of ridges 472a of the second plate 472. When opposing forces are applied to the plates 471, 472, the opposing ridges 471a, 472a create a series of micro-bends in the optical fiber 410.

Claim 1 of the above-captioned application, as amended, claims a multi-layer sensor having an optical wave guide (18, 42, 60). The optical wave guide (18, 42, 60) defines a structure (52, 82, 104) in which the optical wave guide (18, 42, 60) is contained. The structure (52, 82, 104) has a front layer (54, 84, 106) and a rear layer (56, 86), which transmits an external application of force directly onto the optical wave guide (18, 42, 60). The structure further includes clips (34, 102) for retaining the optical wave guide (18, 42, 60) in a curved path and ribs (38, 62, 88) for deforming the optical wave guide (18, 42, 60) in a single plane.

The ’655 reference does not disclose clips (34, 102) for retaining an optical wave guide (18, 42, 60) in a curved path and ribs (38, 62, 88) for deforming the optical wave guide (18, 42, 60) in a single plane, as required by claim 1 of the above-captioned application. In the ’655 reference, there are no clips for retaining the optical fiber 410 in a curved path. Rather, the optical fiber 410 is disposed in a straight path until periodic opposing forces are applied to the plates 471, 472. When the periodic opposing forces are applied to the plates 471, 472, the ridges 471a, 472a create a series of micro-bends in the optical fiber 410, as

shown in Figure 4(c). The series of micro-bends are *temporally* created to produce long period gratings in the optical fiber 410 for measuring temperature and/or pressure. However, there are no clips that retain the optical fiber 410 in a curved path, as required by claim 1 of the above-captioned application.

It would be appreciated by one of ordinary skill in the art that the clips in the above-captioned application are different from the ribs in the above-captioned application. The clips retain an optical wave guide without the need for any other structural element. The clips and the ribs are distinct structural elements and the use of both clips and ribs is not shown in the '655 reference.

Claims 2 and 3 depend from claim 1 and, as such, are construed to incorporate by reference all of the limitations of amended claim 1, *see* 35 U.S.C. § 112, fourth paragraph. Thus, claims 2 and 3 include the limitation of clips (34, 102) for retaining an optical wave guide (18, 42, 60) in a curved path and ribs (38, 62, 88) for deforming the optical wave guide (18, 42, 60) in a single plane.

Further, the cited references do not provide any teaching, suggestion, or motivation for clips (34, 102) for retaining an optical wave guide (18, 42, 60) in a curved path and ribs (38, 62, 88) for deforming the optical wave guide (18, 42, 60) in a single plane. As a result, Applicants contend that the invention set forth in amended claim 1 of the above-captioned application would not have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) of claims 1-3 as being unpatentable over the '655 reference be withdrawn.

Claims 6-11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over United the '655 reference, as applied to claim 1 above, in view of U.S. Patent 5,913,245 to Grossman ("the '245 reference"). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection.

Claims 6-11 depend from claim 1 and, as such, are construed to incorporate by reference all of the limitations of amended claim 1, *see* 35 U.S.C. § 112, fourth paragraph. Thus, claims 6-

Appl'n No: 10/533,165
Amdt dated July 9, 2007
Reply to Office action of May 10, 2007


11 include the limitation of clips (34, 102) for retaining an optical wave guide (18, 42, 60) in a curved path and ribs (38, 62, 88) for deforming the optical wave guide (18, 42, 60) in a single plane.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) of claims 6-11 as being unpatentable over the '655 reference, as applied to claim 1 above, in view of the '245 reference be withdrawn.

It is respectfully submitted that this patent application is in condition for allowance, which allowance is respectfully solicited. If the Examiner has any questions regarding this amendment or the patent application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fee associated with this Communication to Deposit Account No. 50-1759. A duplicate of this form is attached.

Respectfully submitted,



Jay S. Paranjpe (Reg. No. 45,486)
Clark Hill PLC
500 Woodward Avenue, Suite 3500
Detroit, MI 48226-3435
(313) 965-8300

Date: 7/9/07
Attorney Docket No: 22204-100571